(1) 3

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR.**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 1725 OF 2006** SINGLE BENCH

PETITIONER

Dhyan Singh Pendro S/o Veer Singh, aged about 38 years, Occupation- Rural Horticulture Extension Officer, Mohtara Khurd, Block Pandariya, District- Kabirdham C.G.

P.R. No. 12/1/26 Presented by Shri Rukesh Nagdev dated 21/3/26

**V E R S U S**

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Department of Agriculture, DKS Bhawan, Raipur, (C.G.)

2. Santosh Kumar Baghel, Rural Horticulture Extension, Officer, posted at Jagadalpur, District Jagadalpur C.G.

RECEIVED-COPY D. Kalsi 31/3/06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF AN APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS/CERTIORARI ETC. OR DIRECTION/ORDER IN THE LIKE NATURE ETC.**

MMISSIONER ...LVIYA 1073/96 30/03 Chhattisgarh

MAY THIS PLEASE TO YOUR

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

**मामला क्रमांक** ..... WP 1725/06 ..... **सन् 200**

**आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)**

| देश का दिनांक<br>ा आदेश क्रमांक | हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार<br>के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|

**5-4-2006**

Shri Rakesh Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Sushil Dubey, Govt. Advocate for the State.

Heard.

The petitioner has filed this petition against the order dated 27-12-2005 (Annexure-P/1) whereby he has been transferred from Kabirdham to Jagdalpur. It is submitted that the petitioner has been transferred during ban period and during examination of his children. Learned counsel submitted that for the present ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the respondent authorities to decide the representation of the petitioner.

The prayer is not opposed by the other side.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of respondents alongwith a copy of the petition and this order within a period of 15 days from today and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of representation.

Shri Pandey states that the petitioner has not been relieved and he may be allowed to continue.

It is made clear that if the petitioner has not been relieved, he be allowed to continue till the next date of hearing.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.W.P. No. 1304/2006 stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

**Sd/-**
**Fakhruddin**
**Judge**

005 - 20,000